Date(s) of Assessment:	Project and Life Cycle:
Assessor(s):	_ SQE Name:

		Y, N, NA	F, O	Comments	
ASSE	ASSESSMENT PREPARATION				
1	Have standards been identified to clearly define the assessment?				
2	Were guidelines/criteria used to prepare for this assessment?				
3	Were the SAM and/or SQE given advance notice of this assessment?				
PPQ A	A GENERIC PRACTICES				
4	Does Software Quality have an organizational policy for planning and performing PPQA (GP 2.1)? If so, where is it defined?				
5	Has a Software Quality Assurance Plan (SQAP) been developed and approved for the project (GP 2.2)?				
6	Has the SQE developed and maintained a schedule of SQ activities commensurate with the project's Software Management Plan (GP 2.2)?				
7	Have adequate resources for performing the PPQA process area been provided (GP 2.3)? For example, tracking tools or repositories.				
8	Has a Service Order and Work Authorization Form been submitted that assigns responsibility and authority for conducting a Software Quality Program (GP 2.4)?				
9	Has the SQE been trained to NASA and project procedures and are training records on file (GP 2.5)?				

Revision: 3.0 Page 1 of 4

Y=Yes, N=No, NA=Not Applicable, F=Finding, O=Observation

		Y, N,	F, O	Comments
		NA		
10	Are work products under appropriate			
	levels of configuration management (GP			
	2.6)? Where are the levels defined? See			
	also Question # 25.			
11	Have Relevant Stakeholders been			
	identified (GP 2.7)?			
12	Is the PPQA process monitored and			
	controlled (GP 2.8)? If so, how?			
13	Do you review status with higher-level			
	management and resolve issues (GP 2.10)?			
	If so, how?			
OBJE	CTIVE EVALUATIONS (Processes and Wo	ork Produ	ucts) S	G 1
14	Have process evaluations been			
	conducted commensurate with the			
	software life cycle activities (SP 1.1-1):			
14a	Project Planning?			
14b	Project Monitoring and Control?			
14c	Measurement and Analysis?			
14d	Requirements Management?			
14e	Configuration Management?			
14f	Risk Management?			
14g	Software Problem Reporting?			
14h	System/Subsystem Reviews?			
14i	Project Peer Reviews?			
14j	Lessons Learned?			
14k	Verification?			
141	Validation?			
15	Have work product evaluations been			
	conducted commensurate with the			
	software life cycle activities (SP 1.2-1):			
15a	Documentation Reviews (e.g., Product			
	Plan, CMP, RMP, SRS)?			
15b	Software Development Folders?			
15c	Version Description Documents?			
15d	Software Requirements Traceability			
	Matrix?			
15e	Test Reports?			
16	Was a defined criteria used to objectively			
	evaluate processes and work products?			

Revision: 3.0 Page 2 of 4

Y=Yes, N=No, NA=Not Applicable, F=Finding, O=Observation

OBJECTIVE INSIGHT SG 2			
17	Have assessment reports and noncompliances from the process and work product evaluations been documented (SP 2.2-1)?		
18	Have results and noncompliances been communicated to the relevant stakeholders, for example the PDL or OSSMA Management (SP 2.1-1)?		
19	Have noncompliance issues that cannot be resolved within the project been escalated (SP 2.1-1)?		
20	Is there evidence that noncompliances are regularly statused and updated (SP 2.1-1)?		
21	Does the SQE track noncompliance issues to resolution (SP 2.1-1)?		
22	Does the SQE capture and trend noncompliance or quality issues (SP 2.1-1)?		
23	Does the SQE provide a weekly status input to the Project/SAM (SP 2.1-1, GP 2.10)?		
24	Does the SQE provide a monthly input highlighting any noncompliances, quality issues and/or risks (SP 2.1-1, GP 2.10)?		
25	Per the SQA Data Management Plan:		
25a	Does the SQE maintain the following work products in their SQE Folder: Work Authorization Form, Weekly, Monthly/Quarterly Report, Training Log, Metrics, SQAP, Stakeholder Table, Schedule, and IV&V Reports?		
25b	Are assessments reports, associated DR's, and completed checklists maintained in the SQERD?		
25c	Are project-related emails on the SQE's desktop?		
25d	Are there any NCRs in the GPRS?		
	REFERENCE ITEM	S/DOCUMENTS	
CMMI-SE/SW/IPPD/SS, Version 1.1, PPQA Goals and Practices			
303-PG-7102.2.1B, Procedure for Developing and Implementing Software Quality Programs			

Revision: 3.0 Page 3 of 4

Y=Yes, N=No, NA=Not Applicable, F=Finding, O=Observation

For more information, please visit the NASA GSFC Software Assurance Website, at http://sw-assurance.gsfc.nasa.gov.

IEEE-730-2002 for the recommended Software Quality Assurance Plan Template

Date(s) of Assessment:		_ Project:			
		Process Assessed:			
COM	COMMENTS PAGE of				
#	Comments from assessment				

Revision: 3.0 Page 4 of 4

Y=Yes, N=No, NA=Not Applicable, F=Finding, O=Observation

For more information, please visit the NASA GSFC Software Assurance Website, at http://sw-assurance.gsfc.nasa.gov.